

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

---

STATE OF TEXAS et al.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
(ASH)  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA et al.,  
Defendant.

---

Civil Action No. 18-cv-068

AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO INTERVENE UNDER FRcvP RULE  
24 BY THE TRUSTEES OF THE AD HOC NEW YORKER REPUBLICAN  
COMMITTEE

Exhibit 1

**ENTERED**

June 18, 2020

David J. Bradley, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BROWNSVILLE DIVISIONSTATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

§

Plaintiffs,

§

VS.

§

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

§

Defendants.

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:18-CV-68

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a summary judgment filed by the State of Texas. (Doc. No. 356). On November 22, 2019, this Court stayed litigation pending the Supreme Court's resolution of the consolidated cases considering the government's attempt to rescind the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals ("DACA") program. (Doc. No. 447). Today, the Supreme Court issued its opinion. *See Dep't of Homeland Sec. v. Regents of Univ. of Cal.*, 591 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2020) (slip op.). Pursuant to this Court's stay order, this case will remain "stayed until 30 days after [today]. At that time, the parties are to file a joint status report setting out their respective positions given that ruling and an agreed schedule to resolve this matter." (Doc. No. 447). The report contemplated by this order should be filed by July 24th and should include the parties' perspectives as to whether and how, if at all, the recent Supreme Court decision impacts this case, a list of all pending motions (and issues) as well as a specific timeline for resolving those pending motions. The Court does not anticipate that these filings will be comprehensive. Instead, the Court is using this as a means of focusing counsel on the outstanding issues. If the parties cannot agree on the schedule each may file their own proposal.

Signed this 18 day of June, 2020.



Andrew S. Hanen  
United States District Judge